Future City Model Rubric

Names:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CATEGORY  | **20**  | **17**  | **14**  | **10**  | **Total Pts.** |
| *Function*  | Structure functions **extraordinarily well**, holding up under atypical stresses.  | Structure functions **well**, holding up under typical stresses.  | Structure functions pretty well, but **deteriorates** under typical stresses.  | Fatal flaws in function with **complete failure** under typical stresses.  |  |
| *Construction -Materials*  | **Appropriate materials** were selected and **creatively** modified in ways that made them even better.  | Appropriate materials were selected and there was an **attempt at creative modification** to make them even better.  | Appropriate materials were selected. **Lacks Creativity**  | **Inappropriate materials** were selected and contributed to a product that performed poorly. **Not finished/no Creativity**  |  |
| *Construction - Care Taken*  | Great care taken in construction process so that the **structure is neat, attractive and follows plans** accurately.  | Construction was careful and accurate for the most part, **some details could have been refined for a more attractive product.**  | Construction accurately followed the plans, but **many details could have been refined**  | **Construction appears careless**. Many details need refinement for a strong or attractive product.  |  |
| *Follows Map*  | **Model is build following city map drawing.**  | Model has **some changes** but mostly follows city map drawing.  | Model is **missing many parts** of the city map drawing  | Model **does not follow city map** drawing at all.  |  |
| *Presentation*  | Presentation **covers all discussion areas** and was **well planned** out by both partners and **each partner contributed** to the presentation.  | **All areas were covered** however **one partner gave more** to the presentation than the other.  | The presentation **lacked planning**. Both partners contributed evenly.  | The **presentation was not planned out** properly and **both partners did not contribute** to the presentation evenly |  |